AOPC: 23- Information for UPRR Albina

Category	Type of Information	Site Information
AOPC Information		
Location	Location (RM)	RM 9.85–10.2
	River Side	Е
Nearby Upland	Upland Properties	Ash Grove Cement Co. For UPRR Only
Properties	Adjacent to AOPC	
Surface Area	AOPC Size (acres)	17.6
COCs		
COC Information	Primary COCs (Mapping)	PCBs, Toxicity
	Secondary COCs	Lindane (fish consumption)
	Exposure Pathways	Fish consumption
COC Distribution	Horizontal Distribution	Area of PCB and PAH contamination offshore of
	(summary)	Ash Grove Cement. Generally level 1 or no
		benthic toxicity.
	Vertical Distribution	
	(summary)	
COC	Chemical Mobility Data	
Characteristics		
COC	Surface and Transition	
Characteristics	Water Chemistry Data	
	Fish Tissue Chemistry	
	Data	
	Principle Threat and/or	
Datas Gal Carres	Hot Spots	
Potential Unland	Linland Course Migration	
Potential Upland Sources	Upland Source Migration	
Sources	Pathways and COCs Integration with Upland	
	Source Control	
	Measures	
In-Water	In-water sediment and	
	·	
		1 dock: shoreline is beach with recreational/
aman / totivitios	V	
	Adjacent Land Use	(1)
Habitat	·	Shorebird habitat from upper extent of AOPC
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· ·
		identified
Future Site use	Future Site Uses	
Recontamination Potential Current and Future Human Activities Habitat	Adjacent Land Use Accessibility Type - open water, wetland, shallows, vegetative shoreline	1 dock; shoreline is beach with recreational/ transient human use area between RM 9.85 (upper extent of AOPC) and 9.9 Shorebird habitat from upper extent of AOPC (RM 9.85) to RM 9.9; no amphibian habitat identified

Catagoni	Type of Information	Cita Information
Category	Type of Information	Site Information
Site Characteristic		
Navigation	Active shipping/berthing	
	Maintananaa Dradaina	Multiple decipe exects along povinction
	Maintenance Dredging	Multiple dredging events along navigation
		channel from upper (RM 9.85) to lower (RM 10.2)
		extent of AOPC; Ash Grove dredging for berth
		maintenance planned (2010) near dock RM 10,
	Prop wash Potential	7,850 cu yd
Obstructions	Utility Crossing	
Obstructions	Presence of in-water	1 large dock between RM 10.0 and 10.2
	structures	Thange dock between Nivi To.0 and To.2
	Subsurface Features	
	(e.g., hardpan or	
	bedrock)	
Flood Rise	Location and	
1 1000 1 1100	configuration	
Erosion, Wave	Erosional or Depositional	% Neutral Area = 30, % Erosional Area = 13, %
Energy, Stability,	Potential	Depositional Area = 57; Mean bathymetric
3,, ,,		change (2002 - 2004) -0.18 ft +/- 0.48 (1 std dev)
	Water Depth (ft) -	Avg Depth for AOPC 23 = 30' (+/- 13') (1 std dev)
	min/max/avg	, , , , , ,
	Bank and Beach Type	Predominantly unclassified fill with non-vegetated
		riprap from upper extent of AOPC (RM 9.85) to
		RM 9.0 and seawall from RM 10.1 to 10.15
	River Bottom Slope	AOPC 23 has 6.2 acres greater than 10 pct slope
		out of a total of 17.6 acres or 35%
Bottom Debris	Coverage/Type	
Sediment Charact		
Physical	USGS Sediment Type ¹	Predominantly sandy mud except around
Properties		channelward border of AOPC at RM 9.95 where
		muddy sand
	Total Organic Carbon	Mean (± SD): 1.8 (0.3), Min: 0.8, Max: 2.3, large
		area of elevated concentrations between RM
Othor		9.95 and lower extent of AOPC (RM 10.2)
Other leaves	Site appoific	
Other Issues General Response	Site specific	
No Action	Actions	
Institutional		
Controls		
MNR		
Containment		
Removal and		
Disposal		
Dispusai		

_

 $^{^1}$ The sediment types use 20% and 50% as "cut-off" limits. For example, pure sand has less than 20% of mud or gravel; sandy mud has greater than 50% mud and more than 20% sand; muddy sand has greater than 50% sand and more than 20% mud.

Category	Type of Information	Site Information
Treatment (in-		
situ and ex-situ)		

- 1) What are the upland COIs? What are the general levels of the most significant upland contamination relative to JSCS SLVs (10x, 100x, 1000x, etc)? Metals, TPH-dx, TPH heavy oil, PAHs, PCBs (no known releases or sources just minor detections in two CBs). No significant excendences of more that 10x to indicate source area except for diesel LNAPL in groundwater. Most significant exceedence were metal (PB at 10x 100x SLVs) in catch basin sediment and erodable soils which was removed.
- 2) What are the upland sources (e.g., tank release, facility operations, etc)? **Petroleum releases** from AST and subsurface lube oil piping, UST and small scale chemical spills related to general railroad operations over the years. List on known spills in documented in draft RI report.
- 3) What are the current or reasonably likely future contaminant migration pathways linking the uplands to the river (e.g., GW, stormwater, bank erosion, etc)? Stormwater. GW is partially impacted by petroleum releases onsite (LNAPL present in limited area) but does not appear to be making it to river, i.e. plume seems stable but final interpretation of that has yet to be determined
- 4) What's the relative recontamination potential of upland sources? i.e., if an effective source control measure is **not** implemented for a complete contaminant migration pathway (e.g., GW plume), will that pathway likely result the recontamination of a future in-river remedy (e.g., cap)? Stormwater source control measure (line cleanout, system repair) has already been completed and effectiveness is being evaluated.
- 5) What's the current & anticipated future land use of the facility & sites surrounding the facility? Railroad/industrial
- 6) Are there active over-water structures (e.g., docks, wharfs), & is it anticipated these structures will be used in the future? Is the riverbank, beach & nearshore easily accessible from the upland (e.g., "no" because it's covered by wharves or steep rip-rap). No over-water activities from railroad. Only part of waterfront that is accessible on site is the southernmost section of yard. The southernmost beach the has a vegetative/erosion control mat placed on it to control erodable soil on the top of bank and slope. The area is accessible from river b/c of beach but then steep slope.
- 7) Are there any under-river utility crossings in the area? Are there any subsurface sediment obstructions (e.g., buried ships, hard pan, shallow bedrock, etc)? *Unkown*
- 8) What is the general schedule for completing the phase(s) of source control? SCE sampling complete, measures (cleanout, repairs) complete. DEQ needs to get comments to them. Will likely request additional performance monitoring sampling.
- 9) Any DEQ PM comments on the in-water information in the FS Matrices? No